Planning & Environment

Regional Strategy

Strategy

Precinct) - James Street, Cessnock Cessnock LEP 2011 - R3 Medium Density Residential (forms part of the Cessnock Civic Proposal Title : Precinct) - James Street, Cessnock The Planning Proposal will determine whether the subject land should be rezoned from RU2 Proposal Summary : Rural Landscape to R3 Medium Density Residential under the Cessnock Local Environmental Plan 2011. The rezoning will facilitate the subdivision of up to 25 residential allotments with a minimum lot size of 450m. PP 2014 CESSN 003 00 Dop File No :: 14/07241 PP Number : **Proposal Details** LGA covered : Cessnock Date Planning 29-May-2014 Proposal Received : RPA : **Cessnock City Council** Hunter Region : Section of the Act : 55 - Planning Proposal State Electorate : CESSNOCK LEP Type : Spot Rezoning **Location Details** Street : Part 101 DP1193184 Postcode : 2325 Suburb : James Street City : Cessnock Land Parcel : **DoP Planning Officer Contact Details** Contact Name : **Trent Wink** Contact Number : 0249042716 Contact Email : trent.wink@planning.nsw.gov.au **RPA Contact Details** Contact Name : **Robert O'Brien** Contact Number : 0249934100 Contact Email : Robert.O'Brien@cessnock.nsw.gov.au **DoP Project Manager Contact Details** Contact Name : Contact Number : Contact Email : Land Release Data Release Area Name : N/A Growth Centre : N/A Consistent with Strategy Regional / Sub Lower Hunter Regional Yes

Cessnock LEP 2011 - R3 Medium Density Residential (forms part of the Cessnock Civic

Precinct) - James Stre	Precinct) - James Street, Cessnock					
MDP Number :		Date of Release :				
Area of Release (Ha) :	2.85	Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	Residential			
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	25			
Gross Floor Area:	0	No of Jobs Created	0			
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes					
If No, comment						
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists?	No					
If Yes, comment :						
Supporting notes						
Internal Supporting Notes :	*:					
External Supporting Notes :						
Adequacy Assessmen	t					
Statement of the ob	jectives - s55(2)(a)					
Is a statement of the ob	jectives provided? Yes					
Comment :	The statement of objectives explains that the purpose of the Planning Proposal is to rezone the subject land to facilitate the subdivision of up to 25 residential allotments with a minimum lot size of 450m².					
Explanation of prov	isions provided - s55(2)(b)					
Is an explanation of pro	visions provided? Yes					
Comment :	The explanation of provisions Landscape to R3 Medium Der	-	be rezoned from RU2 Rural Cessnock Local Environmental			

Landscape to R3 Medium Density Residential under the Cessnock Local Environmental Plan 2011.

This will involve:-

 Amending Land Zoning Map and rezoning part of Lot 101 DP 1193184 from RU2 Rural Landscape to R3 Medium Density Residential, and
 amending the Minimum Lot Size Map for part of Lot 101 DP 1193184 from a minimum lot size of 40 hectares to a minimum lot size of 450m², and

3. amending the split zone clause so that it applies to land zoned RU2 Rural Landscape.

Applying the split zone clause to land zoned RU2 Rural Landscape will permit the subdivision of the residential land from the rural residue, which does not comply with the minimum allotment size of 40ha.

Justification - s55 (2)(c) a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? Yes b) S.117 directions identified by RPA : **1.2 Rural Zones** 1.5 Rural Lands * May need the Director General's agreement 2.1 Environment Protection Zones 2.3 Heritage Conservation **3.1 Residential Zones** 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 4.2 Mine Subsidence and Unstable Land 4.3 Flood Prone Land 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies Is the Director General's agreement required? Yes c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified? SEPP No44 — Koala Habitat Protection e) List any other Comment: The ecological assessment found that the site does not constitute 'Potential matters that need to be considered : Koala Habitat'. As such no further provisions of the policy apply to the site. SEPP No55 — Remediation of Land Comment: Previous site assessments have demonstrated that the site is uncontaminated and is suitable for residential development. SEPP (Rural Lands) 2008 The land is not used for agriculture and is highly unlikely to be used for agriculture because of its size, location and characteristics. The land adjoins residential land and the proposal is consistent with Rural Planning Principles and Rural Subdivision Principles. Minister's s117 Directions 1.2 Rural Zones Comment: The draft LEP is inconsistent with this direction because it rezones land from RU2 Rural Landscape to R3 Medium Density Residential. The inconsistency is justified by the Lower Hunter Regional Strategy (LHRS) and the endorsed City Wide Settlement Strategy 2003. 1.5 Rural Lands Comment: The draft LEP is inconsistent with this direction because it affects land within a rural zone. The inconsistency is justified by the Lower Hunter Regional Strategy (LHRS) and the endorsed City Wide Settlement Strategy 2003. 2.1 Environment Protection Zones Comment: The preliminary desktop ecological assessment dated Feb 2014 advises that the site is predominantly cleared but contains some minor areas of Lower Hunter Spotted Gum Ironbark Forest, which is listed as an endangered ecological community under the Threatened Species Conservation Act 1995. Consultation with OEH is required to determine consistency with this Direction. 2.3 Heritage Conservation Comment: An archaeological assessment has been undertaken for this locality which concluded there were no items of significance on the subject site. The draft LEP is consistent with this direction. 3.1 Residential Zones Comment: The proposed R3 Medium Density Residential zone permits a wider range of dwelling types. The subject land will be connected to reticulated sewer, reticulated water, electricity & telecommunication. The draft LEP is consistent with this direction. 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport Comment: The draft LEP is consistent with this direction. The development will take advantage of existing infrastructure and services. 4.2 Mine Subsidence and Unstable Land Comment: The Mine Subsidence Board on 25 March 2010 advised that this part of the

Cessnock Civic Precinct has never been undermined and the site has no restrictions to buildings with respect to mine subsidence. No further consultation is considered necessary.

4.3 Flood Prone Land: The flood impact assessment undertaken for the site indicates that the majority of the site is above the 1:100 year flood level. Council advises that its draft flood maps identify additional areas subject to flooding, which may affect some of the proposed residential allotments. Council needs to address this S117 Direction and determine consistency.

4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection

Comment: Council needs to consult with the NSW Rural Fire Service before undertaking community consultation to satisfy the requirements of Direction 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection.

5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies

Comment: The Lower Hunter Regional Strategy (LHRS) and Council's City Wide Settlement Strategy (CCWSS) (2010) identifies the subject land as a potential urban area. The proposal proposal is consistent with this S117 Direction.

Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? **Yes** If No, explain :

Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)

Is mapping provided? Yes

Comment :

Comment :

Community consultation - s55(2)(e)

Has community consultation been proposed? Yes

The planning proposal should be exhibited for a minimum of 14 days, given it is minor in nature.

Additional Director General's requirements

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No

If Yes, reasons :

Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment :

Proposal Assessment

Principal LEP:

Due Date :

Comments in The SI LEP was published on 23 December 2011 relation to Principal LEP :

Assessment Criteria

Need for planningYes. The preparation of a Planning Proposal is the most appropriate mechanism toproposal :investigate whether the subject land should be rezoned.

Consistency with strategic planning ramework :	The Lower Hunter Regional Strategy (LHRS) and Council's City Wide Settlement Strategy (CCWSS) (2010) identify the subject land as a potential urban area.				
	The Planning Proposal will contribute to the implementation of the housing targets identified in the LHRS by providing additional housing opportunities in the proposed R3 Medium Density Residential zone.				
		d the City Wide Settlement Strateg as having potential for increased			
Environmental social economic impacts :	Environmental Impacts Areas of high conservation value have already been zoned E2 Environmental Conservation. The site is predominantly cleared but contains some minor areas of Lower Hunter Spotted Gum Ironbark Forest, which is listed as an endangered ecological community (EEC) under the Threatened Species Conservation Act 1995. Consultation with OEH will determine whether an environmental biodiversity offset is required.				
	Social and Economic Impacts Social and economic impacts are considered to be positive through the provision of additional housing opportunities in proximity to existing services and infrastructure.				
ssessment Proces	35				
Proposal type :	Minor	Community Consultation Period :	14 Days		
Fimeframe to make	9 months	Delegation :	RPA		
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2) d) :	Office of Environment ar NSW Rural Fire Service	nd Heritage			
s Public Hearing by th	e PAC required? N	o			
(2)(a) Should the matte	er proceed ? Y	es			
f no, provide reasons :					
Resubmission - s56(2)	(b) : No				
f Yes, reasons :	5				
dentify any additional	studies, if required.				
f Other, provide reaso	ns :				
dentify any internal co	nsultations, if required :				
No internal consultati	on required				
s the provision and fu	nding of state infrastructure n	elevant to this plan? No			
Yes, reasons : The draft State infrastructure map does not identify the subject land as an urban release area (URA). The growth infrastructure team on the 14 May 2014 advised that the subject land does not need to be mapped as a URA.					

Document File Name Planning Proposal - James Street Cessnock.pdf Mine Subsidence advice Cessnock.pdf		DocumentType Name	Is Public
		Proposal Determination Document	Yes Yes
nning Team Recomn	nendation		
Preparation of the plannir	ng proposal supported at this stage	Recommended with Conditions	
S.117 directions:	 1.2 Rural Zones 1.5 Rural Lands 2.1 Environment Protection Zon 2.3 Heritage Conservation 3.1 Residential Zones 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Tra 4.2 Mine Subsidence and Unstal 4.3 Flood Prone Land 	ansport ble Land	
	4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protec 5.1 Implementation of Regional		
Additional Information :	It is recommended that:		
	1. The Planning Proposal be su delegations.	pported and Council be given the Minist	er's plan making
	Planning & Assessment Act 197 (a) the Planning Proposal be ma (b) the relevant authority must of of planning proposals and the s	de publicly available for 28 days; comply with the notice requirements for pecifications for material that must be p as identified in section 4.5 of A guide to	public exhibition ublicly available
	3. Consultation is required with the EP&A Act:	the following public authorities under so	ection 56(2)(d) of
	 NSW Rural Fire Service (S117 Office of Environment and He 	Direction 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Prot ritage	ection)
	relevant supporting material. E comment on the proposal, or to	rovided with a copy of the planning prop ach public authority is to be given at lea indicate that they will require additional ties may request additional information planning proposal.	st 21 days to I time to comment
	Minister's S117 Direction 1.2 Ru inconsistencies are justified by City Wide Settlement Strategy 2	gate) approves the minor inconsistencie Iral Zones and 1.5 Rural Lands because the Lower Hunter Regional Strategy and 2003. Council should be advised to addre Protection Zones and Direction 4.3 Floo ovals.	the I the endorsed ess the Minister's
		red to be held into the matter by any pers ct. This does not discharge Council from a public hearing.	
	10. The timeframe for completir Determination.	ng the LEP is 9 months from the date of t	the Gateway
Supporting Reasons			

•

Precinci) - James S	
Signature:	Kalas
Printed Name:	K-O'FLAHERTY Date: 3/6/14